THE ANNALS OF THORACIC SURGERY HOME HELP FEEDBACK SUBSCRIPTIONS ARCHIVE SEARCH TABLE OF CONTENTS	QUICK SEARCH: [advanced] Author: Keyword(s): Go		
Ann Thorac Surg 2000;69:1933-1934 © 2000 The Society of Thoracic Surgeons	This Article Abstract FREE Full Text (PDF)		
Case reports	 Alert me when this article is cited Alert me if a correction is posted Services 		
Third-degree burns due to intraoperative use of a Bair Hugger warming device	 Email this article to a friend Similar articles in this journal Similar articles in PubMed Alert me to new issues of the journal Add to Personal Folders Download to citation manager Author home page(s): Michael F. Teodori Permission Requests 		
Kristin D. Truell, MD ^{a,c} , Paul R. Bakerman, MD ^{a,c} , Michael F. Teodori, MD ^{a,c} , Aubrey Maze, MD ^{b,c}			
^a Department of Pediatric Critical Care, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona, USA			
 ^b Department of Pediatric Cardiothoracic Surgery, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona, USA ^c Department of Pediatric Anesthesiology, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Phoenix, Arizona, USA 	Citing Articles Citing Articles via HighWire Citing Articles via Google Scholar		
Address reprint requests to Dr Bakerman, Pediatric Critical Care, Phoenix Children's Hospital, 1111 E McDowell Rd, Phoenix, AZ 85006 e-mail: <u>pbakerm@primenet.com</u>	Google Scholar Articles by Truell, K. D. Articles by Maze, A. Search for Related Content		
	PubMed		

PubMed Citation

Articles by Truell, K. D.

Articles by Maze, A.

Abstract

We report the case of a 3-year-old boy who underwent correction of transposition of the great arteries who developed burns from use of a patient warming device. His repair had been delayed because he was from a developing country, and he was offered surgery as part of a humanitarian effort. Postoperatively he was noted to have second- and third-

degree burns from use of a Bair Hugger (Augustine Medical, Eden Prairie, MN) warming system after cardiopulmonary bypass.

Introduction

Patient warming devices are used in the operating room during cardiothoracic surgery. These systems are used to maintain body temperature or to rewarm patients. With use of these devices, outcomes are improved and complications are rare. We report a child who developed second- and third-degree burns from use of a Bair Hugger (Augustine Medical, Eden Prairie, MN) warming system after cardiopulmonary bypass.

 ▲ <u>Top</u> ▲ <u>Abstract</u> ■ Introduction
▼ <u>Comment</u> ▼ <u>References</u>

▲ <u>Top</u>

Abstract
 Introduction

Comment

References

ADSTRACT

This patient was known to have transposition of the great arteries. Balloon atrial septostomy was performed when he was an infant. He was taking digoxin and furosemide for congestive heart failure. Before surgery, this 3-year-old boy was cachectic-appearing and would become diaphoretic and agitated with activity. On physical examination his height and weight were 12.5 kg and 83 cm, respectively, both below the fifth percentile for age. He was cyanotic and had digital clubbing. He had a grade III/VI systolic murmur and no hepatosplenomegaly.

He was accepted for rapid two-stage arterial switch as part of a humanitarian effort. Two weeks before complete repair, he had pulmonary artery banding and right innominate to right pulmonary artery shunt placement to optimize left ventricular function. An echocardiogram showed adequate contractility and appropriate increase in left ventricular mass.

Fourteen days later he underwent anatomic correction of his TGA with removal of the shunt and pulmonary artery band. The total bypass time was 192 minutes with an aortic cross clamp time of 85 minutes. After bypass he had significant intraoperative bleeding. This was corrected with 2 hours of controlled hypotension maintaining systolic blood pressures in the range of 60 to 70 mm Hg. He required packed red blood cells, fresh frozen plasma, platelets, and cryoprecipitate. A Bair Hugger warming system was turned on to the medium setting at the start of the rewarming period and was used until he left the operating room.

In the pediatric intensive care unit, he had mild postoperative cardiac dysfunction. Hemodynamics were maintained with epinephrine $0.04 \ \mu g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$, dopamine $2 \ \mu g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$, nitroprusside $2 \ \mu g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$, and amrinone $1.8 \ \mu g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$, which were weaned within 17 hours. He remained well perfused and nonacidotic, maintained adequate urine output, and was extubated 15 hours postoperatively.

On the first postoperative day he was noted to have a few small, regularly spaced blisters on his lower extremities (see Fig 1). Over the course of the day the lesions became more widely distributed but remained isolated to the lower extremities. Becaues of their regular spacing, which corresponded to the spacing of the warm air exit holes, the blisters were presumed secondary to the heating blanket used in the operating room. The lesions were small (5 to 10 mm) and well circumscribed, some partial and some full thickness. Antibiotic ointment was applied to the lesions. His cardiopulmonary status improved rapidly and he was discharged from the hospital on the fourth postoperative day. The blisters were completely healed in follow-up.



View larger version (113K): [in this window] [in a new window]

Fig 1. Second and third-degree burns, 5 to 10 mm in diameter, on the lower extremity.

Comment

The use of patient warming devices is common particularly during cardiothoracic surgery as a means of correcting induced hypothermia. The Bair Hugger warming system works by infusing heated air through a blanket and air escapes through small holes directed toward the patient. The system has three settings: low, medium, and high. Settings



correspond to temperatures of $32.2^{\circ}C \pm 2.8^{\circ}C$, $37.7^{\circ}C \pm 2.8^{\circ}C$, and $43.3^{\circ}C \pm 2.8^{\circ}C$, respectively.

The package insert indicates that the blankets should not be used during aortic cross-clamping, and patients with poor perfusion should not go without monitoring for prolonged periods of warming. The insert warns against use of the "high" setting in patients with low cardiac output, vascular disease, or poor or marginal cutaneous perfusion, and in immobilized patients. The company recommends monitoring temperature at least every 10 to 20 minutes.

Patient warming systems are beneficial in various clinical settings. Reversing hypothermia induced intraoperatively during cardiothoracic surgery with the Bair Hugger system has been reported to decrease the incidence of ischemia, atrial and ventricular fibrillation, and unstable angina [1]. Oxygen consumption was decreased with use of a Bair Hugger in children having spinal surgery [2]. Normothermic body temperatures led to a shorter duration of postoperative ventilatory support by 3 to 4 hours in adults after cardiac operations [3]. In addition, blood transfusions were reduced in patients having total hip arthroplasty [4].

Although complications are rare, problems can occur with use and misuse of the system. Thermal softening of endotracheal tubes has been reported with use of the Bair Hugger warming device [5]. According to the manufacturer, first-degree burns, but not second- or third-degree burns, have been reported.

Several factors led to second- and third-degree burns in our patient. This child was chronically cyanotic, with poor tissue perfusion as a consequence of his delayed cardiac repair. His intraoperative bleeding may have contributed to skin hypoperfusion. Postoperatively he did require pressors, but these potentially vasoconsticting agents were always used in low doses and were weaned off rapidly. These factors may have contributed to acute and chronic skin hypoperfusion and predisposed him to burns.

The Bair Hugger system is highly effective at reversing intraoperative hypothermia. Although there are potential complications associated with rewarming, the benefits clearly outweigh the risks. Cyanosis, hypoperfusion, or vasoconstricting medications may predispose to cutaneous thermal injury. The second-and third-degree burns seen in this patient should not preclude the judicious use of patient warming devices. This complication can probably be prevented with placement of an additional blanket between the patient's skin and the warming device.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Grace Caputo, MD, Residency Director, Phoenix Children's Hospital, Maricopa Medical Center Pediatric Residency Program, Phoenix, Arizona, for her considerate review of this case report.

We thank Augustine Medical for paying for the initial slides taken of this patient's burns.

References

1. Frank S., Fleisher L., Breslow M., et al. Perioperative maintenance of normothermia reduces the incidence of morbid cardiac events. JAMA 1997;277:1127-1134. [Abstract/Free Full Text]

▲ <u>Top</u> Abstract ▲ Introduction Comment References

- 2. Murat I., Berniere J., Constant I. Evaluation of the efficacy of a forced-air warmer (Bair Hugger) during spinal surgery in children. J Clin Anesth 1994;6:425-429.[Medline]
- 3. Pathi V., Berg G.A., Morrison J., Cramp G., McLaren D., Faichney A. The benefits of active rewarming after cardiac operations. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1996;31:637-641.
- 4. Schimed H., Kurz A., Sessler D., Kozek S., Reiter A. Mild hypothermia increases blood loss and transfusion requirements during total hip arthroplasty. Lancet 1996;8997:289-292.
- 5. Ayala J.L., Coe A. Thermal softening of tracheal tubes. Br J Anesth 1997;4:543-545.

Accepted for publication October 21, 1999.

This article has been cited by other articles:



A. Brauer, H. Bovenschulte, T. Perl, W. Zink, M. J. M. English, and M. Quintel

What Determines the Efficacy of Forced-Air Warming Systems? A Manikin Evaluation with Upper Body Blankets

Anesth. Analg., January 1, 2009; 108(1): 192 - 198. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]



ANESTHESIA & ANALGESIA

O. Kimberger, C. Held, K. Stadelmann, N. Mayer, C. Hunkeler, D. I. Sessler, and A. Kurz Resistive Polymer Versus Forced-Air Warming: Comparable Heat **Transfer and Core Rewarming Rates in Volunteers**

Anesth. Analg., November 1, 2008; 107(5): 1621 - 1626. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

	ANDSTHUSIA ANALCESIA	
1		
1		
3	- V.	

ANESTHESIA & ANALGESIA

A. Wadhwa, R. Komatsu, M. Orhan-Sungur, P. Barnes, J. In, D. I. Sessler, and R. Lenhardt

New Circulating-Water Devices Warm More Quickly than Forced-**Air in Volunteers**

Anesth. Analg., December 1, 2007; 105(6): 1681 - 1687. [Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

This Article

Abstract FREE

Full Text (PDF)

Alert me when this article is cited

HOME

HOME

HOME

HOME HELP FEEDBACK SUBSCRIPTIONS ARC	IVE SEARCH TABLE OF CONTENTS	rection is posted
ANN THORAC SURG ASIAN CARDIOVASC THORA	CANN EUR J CARDIOTHORAC SURG Se	rvices
J THORAC CARDIOVASC SURG	TS ALL CTSNet JOURNALS Email this articl	e to a friend
	Similar articles	<u>in this journal</u>
	Similar articles	in PubMed
	Alert me to new	issues of the journa
	Add to Personal	Folders
	Download to cit	ation manager
	Author home pa <u>Michael F. Teod</u>	
	Permission Reg	uests
	Citing	g Articles
	Citing Articles v	<u>ia HighWire</u>
	Citing Articles v	ia Google Scholar
	Googi	le Scholar
	Articles by True	<u>II, К. D.</u>
	Articles by Maze	<u>ə, A.</u>
	Search for Relation	ted Content
	Pu	ıbMed
	PubMed Citation	<u>1</u>
	Articles by True	<u>II, К. D.</u>
	Articles by Maze	<u>ə, A.</u>